

ECON 7010 - MACROECONOMICS I

Fall 2015

Notes for Lecture #1

A View of Economics (applies to basically all fields):

- Circle with three nodes:
 1. Theory
 2. Facts (data)
 3. Policy
- Each feeds to and from one another:
 - Theory
 - * It is: Optimization, Equilibrium Analysis (i.e. a consistency requirement, how do all the individual decisions fit together?)
 - * It is influenced by the facts: they shape theories we come up with
 - * It influences Policy Design
 - Facts
 - * It is: Statistics/Econometrics
 - * It is influenced by Policy, which affects economic actions and outcomes measured in data
 - * It influences Theory and Policy through evaluation of models and policy (i.e. test theory and policy efficiency with data)
 - Policy
 - * It is: Evaluation and Design of economic policy
 - * It is influenced by data via it's evaluation with data
 - * It influences Theory since Policy affects data from which we form theory

Facts:

1. Economies Fluctuate

- Draw GDP since 1900 or so - upward trend with small movements, larger movement in 1929, 1981, 2008
- Constant (or just about) growth over time (very close to the 3% per year average)
- Business cycle fluctuations around this constant trend growth
- Why?
 - shocks to technology, tastes/preferences (Real business cycle theory (RBC))
 - beliefs (i.e. animal spirits) (Keynesian business cycle theory)
 - natural cycle (Austrian business cycle theory)
- *very* hard to know the timing of turning points in cycles
- Macroeconomists not sure of the “whys”
 - Best modern models mix elements of RBC and Keynesian models
 - Modern macroeconomists focus on “micro-foundations”
- What can (should) we do?

- Leading economic models differ on both normative and positive points here
- Real business cycle models often suggested that we should not do anything to counter business cycles - that they represent economic agents making optimal decisions given changes in productivity
- It's hard to believe that business cycles are optimal, and many, including neoclassical economists propose models where business cycles are not optimal.
 - * However, many neoclassical models (since they rely on microeconomic behavior) can have a hard time supporting active policy making (i.e. they say we should do something, but that we cannot do something about business cycles)

2. Co-movement: Positive correlation between macroeconomic variables and Y (GDP)

- Notation

- Y = output (GDP)
- C = consumption
- I = Investment
- N = employment
- w = real wage
- r = real interest rate
- all variables measured in real terms (not nominal)

- Correlations:

- $corr(C, Y) > 0$
- $corr(I, Y) > 0$
- $corr(N, Y) > 0$
- $corr(\frac{Y}{N}, Y) > 0$
- $corr(w, Y) \approx 0$ - this and next are real challenge for model builders - it's difficult to create a model with near zero elasticities in equilibrium
- $corr(r, Y) \approx 0$

3. Standard Deviations

- $std(I) > std(Y) > std(C)$
- this is because of consumption smoothing (i.e., risk averse agents prefer to spread consumption across periods in an even manner)
- investment series is extremely volatile, consumption is less so (w/ durables more volatile than non-durables because durables are more like an investment)

4. Serial Correlation

- Positive serial correlations (Persistence \rightarrow good yesterday, likely good today)
- $corr(x_t, x_{t-1}) > 0$
- x could be Y, C, I, N, w, r...

5. There are the types of relationships that macroeconomic models hope to capture.

6. A test of how good the model is is how well it captures these (and other) "stylized facts".

7. To reiterate - the challenge is to build up a model from individual optimization that captures the movements we see in the macroeconomy.

- There are big hurdles to doing this kind of economics - you need some "tools".
- It is the learning of these tools that is the real goal of this course.
- In particular, we will learn dynamic optimization and general equilibrium modeling.

- These tools will serve you well outside of macroeconomics.

Cake Eating Problem:

- time, $t = 1, 2$
- $c_t \equiv$ consumption of cake in period t
- Preferences: $u(c_1) + \beta u(c_2)$
 - $u'(\cdot) > 0$
 - $u''(\cdot) < 0$ (i.e., strictly concave utility function)
 - $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ discount factor
 - $u'(0) = \infty$, Inada condition (first derivative approaches infinity as c approaches zero), always keeps you away from boundary conditions/corner solutions
- Endowment:
 - $w_1 > 0$ given (start of period one)
 - No endowment in period 2 (it's important that agent knows this at outset)
- Technology:
 - Storage technology: $w_2 = w_1 - c_1$ (this is called the “transition equation”)
 - * Storage technology is: “how much of that stuff that I put in today is there tomorrow”
- Markets:
 - None here
- Information:
 - No uncertainty
- The problem:
 - $\max_{c_1, c_2, w_2, w_3} u(c_1) + \beta u(c_2)$
 - * subject to:
 - * $w_2 = w_1 - c_1$
 - * $w_3 = w_2 - c_2$
 - * $c_t \geq 0, t = 1, 2$ - Inada condition takes care of this condition and ensures interior solution
 - * $w_t \geq 0, t = 2, 3$
 - * Note that there will be 6 Lagrange multipliers for the 6 constraints
 - * However, with some substitutions, we can eliminate some constraints
 - * As noted, the Inada condition takes care of two constraints
 - * Then one can combine the first two constraints into one: $w_3 + c_1 + c_2 = w_1$ and we'll use λ as the Lagrangian multiplier on this constraint. Note this also gets rid of w_2 as a choice variable
 - * Which leaves only one more constraint, $w_3 \geq 0$, we'll use ϕ as the Lagrangian multiplier on this constraint (only one more left since $w_2 \geq 0$ is implied by the two remaining constraints)
 - Lagrangian: $L = \max_{c_1, c_2, w_3} u(c_1) + \beta u(c_2) + \lambda(w_1 - c_1 - c_2 - w_3) + \phi(w_3)$
 - FOCs:
 - * w.r.t. c_1 : $u'(c_1) = \lambda$
 - * w.r.t. c_2 : $\beta u'(c_2) = \lambda$

- Note that the two conditions above imply the “Euler” equation : $u'(c_1) = \beta u'(c_2)$
- → We’ll see these Euler equations all the time.
- → They relate two variables across time.
- → They are the condition of inter-temporal optimization.
- This condition is necessary, but not sufficient condition for choices along an optimal path in a dynamic optimization problem
- Interpretation: If (discounted) marginal utilities are not equal, then agent can improve utility by rearranging the amounts consumed in different periods
- DRAW inter-temporal budget constraint and indifferent curve (whose slope is the ratio of marginal utilities).
- * w.r.t. w_3 : $\phi = \lambda$
 - If $\phi > 0$, then that means the non-negativity constraint on w_3 binds, thus $w_3 = 0$
 - We assumed that the marginal utility of consumption was positive (i.e., $u'(c) > 0$), thus $\lambda > 0$ and so $\phi > 0$
 - Thus we know that $w_3 = 0$ (i.e., we don’t leave any cake left over for period in which we get no utility from consuming it)
- Since agents only receive an endowment in period 1 and get no utility from period 3 consumption, we can rewrite this problem in a more simple way:
 - * $c_1 + c_2 = w_1$
 - * $w_1 - c_1 = s$, where s =savings
 - * $c_2 = s$
 - * now the maximization problem becomes: $\max_{s:w_1 \geq s \geq 0} u(w_1 - s) + \beta u(s)$
 - * the FOC (now just w.r.t. s) becomes the Euler equation: $u'(w_1 - s) = \beta u'(s)$
 - * We can write the optimization problem as a Bellman equation: $V_2 \equiv \max_s u(w_1 - s) + \beta u(s)$
 - $\Rightarrow u'(w_1 - s) = \beta u'(s) \rightarrow$ how agent acts optimally is given by the Euler equation
 - $s(w_1) \rightarrow c_1$ and c_2 as a function of w_1
 - This is the policy function or decision rule (demand function is a specific example of this)
 - describes how agents chose endogenous variables as a function of exogenous variables and parameters
 - $V_2(w_1) = u(w_1 - s(w_1)) + \beta u(s(w_1))$ (where V_2 is the value once I know how the agent will optimize (from policy function above))

We spend weeks extending this simple example - adding periods, changing the “storage technology”, adding uncertainty, etc. This will build up our dynamic optimization tools. We’ll then apply these tools to real economic questions.